Document 1

Filed 08/<u>05/</u>2008

Page 1 of 10

Case 3:08-cv-01414-JM-AJB

Complaint

26

27

28

HYDE & SWIGART

San Diego, California

- 1 of 8 -

loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy. Congress wrote the Fair

Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. (hereinafter

"FDCPA"), to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors,

- to insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses.
- 2. The California legislature has determined that the banking and credit system and grantors of credit to consumers are dependent upon the collection of just and owing debts and that unfair or deceptive collection practices undermine the public confidence that is essential to the continued functioning of the banking and credit system and sound extensions of credit to consumers. The Legislature has further determined that there is a need to ensure that debt collectors exercise this responsibility with fairness, honesty and due regard for the debtor's rights and that debt collectors must be prohibited from engaging in unfair or deceptive acts or practices.
- 3. Steven Heath, (Plaintiff), through Plaintiff's attorneys, brings this action to challenge the actions of Legal Recovery Law Offices, Inc. ("Defendant"), with regard to attempts by Defendant to unlawfully and abusively collect a debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, and this conduct caused Plaintiff damages.
- 4. Plaintiff makes these allegations on information and belief, with the exception of those allegations that pertain to a plaintiff, or to a plaintiff's counsel, which Plaintiff alleges on personal knowledge.
- 5. Unless otherwise stated, Plaintiff alleges that any violations by Defendant were knowing and intentional, and that Defendant did not maintain procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such violation.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k), and 28 U.S.C. § 1367 for supplemental state claims.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

- 7. This action arises out of Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA") and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, California Civil Code §§ 1788-1788.32 ("RFDCPA").
- Because Defendant does business within the State of California, personal 8. jurisdiction is established.
 - 9. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c).

PARTIES

- Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in the City of San Diego, County of 10. San Diego, State of California.
- 11 Defendant is from the City of San Diego, the County of San Diego, and the 11. 12 State of California.
 - Plaintiff is obligated or allegedly obligated to pay a debt, and is a "consumer" 12. as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
 - 13. Defendant is a person who uses an instrumentality of interstate commerce or the mails in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts, or who regularly collects or attempts to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another and is therefore a debt collector as that phrase is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
 - Plaintiff is a natural person from whom a debt collector sought to collect a 14. consumer debt which was due and owing or alleged to be due and owing from Plaintiff, and is a "debtor" as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(h).
 - Defendant, in the ordinary course of business, regularly, on behalf of himself, 15. herself, or others, engages in debt collection as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(b), is therefore a debt collector as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(c).

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

28

This case involves money, property or their equivalent, due or owing or 16. alleged to be due or owing from a natural person by reason of a consumer credit transaction. As such, this action arises out of a consumer debt and "consumer credit" as those terms are defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(f).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- At all times relevant to this matter, Plaintiff was an individual residing within 17. the State of California.
- At all times relevant, Defendant conducted business within the State of 18. California.
- Sometime before June 3, 2008, Plaintiff is alleged to have incurred certain 19. financial obligations to Capital One Bank.
 - 20. These financial obligations were primarily for personal, family or household purposes and are therefore a "debt" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).
 - 21. These alleged obligations were money, property, or their equivalent, which is due or owing, or alleged to be due or owing, from a natural person to another person and are therefore a "debt" as that term is defined by California Civil Code §1788.2(d), and a "consumer debt" as that term is defined by California Civil Code §1788.2(f).
- Sometime thereafter, but before June 3, 2008, Plaintiff allegedly fell behind in 20 22. the payments allegedly owed on the alleged debt. Plaintiff currently takes no 21 22 position as to the validity of this alleged debt.
- Subsequently, but before June 3, 2008, the alleged debt was assigned, placed, 23 23. or otherwise transferred, to Defendant for collection. 24
- On June 3, 2008, Defendant, on behalf of Capital One Bank, filed a lawsuit 25 24. against Plaintiff in the Superior Court of California, County of San Diego, 26 27 Case Number 37-2008-00084981-CL-CTL.
 - Plaintiff was served with the aforementioned lawsuit on June 5, 2008. 25.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- This communication to Plaintiff was a "communication" as that term is 26. defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2), and an "initial communication" consistent with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a).
- This communication was a "debt collection" as Cal. Civ. Code 1788.2(b) 27. defines that phrase, and an "initial communication" consistent with Cal. Civ. Code § 1812.700(b).
- Subsequently, but within the thirty-day period described in 15 U.S.C. § 28. 1692(a), Plaintiff notified Defendant and/or Capital One Bank in writing, that this alleged debt, or some portion of it, was disputed.
- 29. Plaintiff then retained Hyde & Swigart for representation in the aforementioned lawsuit.
- Plaintiff's counsel answered Defendant's complaint on June 10, 2008, and 30. provided a copy to the Superior Court and Defendant's counsel via United States mail. Plaintiff's answer advised Defendant, by written notice, that Plaintiff was represented by said attorneys with respect to any consumer debt, and contained said attorneys' names and address.
- 31. Defendant subsequently acknowledged receipt of Plaintiff's answer.
- Despite Defendant's knowledge that Plaintiff was represented by counsel, 32. Defendant continued to communicate with Plaintiff by sending a letter to Plaintiff on July 23, 2008. In its letter, Defendant proposed a "conditional settlement," which waived all statute of limitations, eliminated the parties right to a jury trial, and awarded attorney's fees and costs to Defendant.
- Defendant's communication with Plaintiff violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(2) 33. and Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.14(c) as it was a communication to Plaintiff after Defendant knew he was represented by an attorney. Because Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(a)(2), it violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

- In seeking attorney's fees, Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f(1) as it 34. constitutes an attempt to collect an amount not authorized by the agreement creating the debt or permitted by law. Because Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692f, it violated Cal. Civ. Code 1788.17.
- Defendant's communication to Plaintiff constituted a false, deceptive, or 35. misleading representation or means in connection with the debt collection in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1692e. Because Defendant violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e, it also violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 ET SEQ.

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this 36. Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple violations 37. of the FDCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the abovecited provisions of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq.
- 38. As a result of each and every violation of the FDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to any actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1); statutory damages in an amount up to \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A); and, reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) from Defendant.

COUNT II

VIOLATIONS OF THE ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1788-1788.32

Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this 39. Complaint as though fully stated herein.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

HYDE & SWIGART

San Diego, California

	Trial By	Jupy	
Case 3:08-cv-01414- <u>J</u> M-AJB	Document 1	Filed 08/05/2008	Page 8 of 10

48. Pursuant to the seventh amendment to the Constitution of the United States of America, Plaintiff is entitled to, and demands, a trial by jury.

Date:

Hyde & Swigart

By:

Joshua B. Swigart

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

San Diego, California

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) **DEFENDANTS** I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Legal Recovery 7 Steven Heath , 🤻 SUNTERNATION OF THE BAND IN TH (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff San Diego (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) Attorneys (If Known) (c) Attorney's (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Joshua Swigart, Hyde & Swigart, 401 Camino Del Rio S, Unknown Ste. 301, San Diego, CA 92108, 619-233-7770 III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) and One Box for Defendant) (For Diversity Cases Only) DEF PTF DEF **4** Incorporated or Principal Place **O** 4 3 Federal Ouestion □ 1 U.S. Government Citizen of This State 0 1 0 1 (U.S. Government Not a Party) Plaintiff of Business In This State **D** 5 Incorporated and Principal Place C 2 Citizen of Another State **3** 2 4 Diversity of Business In Another State 1 2 U.S. Government Defendant (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) 3 Foreign Nation Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) OTHER STATUTES BANKRUPTCY FORFEITURE/PENALTY 400 State Reapportionment 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 610 Agriculture PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 410 Antitrust ☐ 110 Insurance 423 Withdrawal 1 620 Other Food & Drug 362 Personal Injury -430 Banks and Banking 28 USC 157 310 Airplane 120 Marine 625 Drug Related Seizure Med. Malpractice 315 Airplane Product 450 Commerce 130 Miller Act of Property 21 USC 881 365 Personal Injury -Liability 460 Deportation PROPERTY RICHES 140 Negotiable Instrument Product Liability 630 Liquor Laws 320 Assault, Libel & 470 Racketeer Influenced and ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment ☐ 820 Copyrights 640 R.R. & Truck 368 Asbestos Personal Corrupt Organizations Slander & Enforcement of Judgmer 330 Patent 650 Airline Regs. Injury Product 480 Consumer Credit 330 Federal Employers' ☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 840 Trademark ☐ 660 Occupational Liability 490 Cable/Sat TV ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted PERSONAL PROPERTY Safety/Health 810 Selective Service Student Loans 340 Marine 690 Other 370 Other Fraud 850 Securities/Commodities/ (Excl. Veterans) 345 Marine Product SOCIAL SECURITIVE PARTIES LABOR NAME OF STREET 371 Truth in Lending Liability Exchange ☐ 153 Recovery of Overpayment 1 861 HIA (1395ff) 710 Fair Labor Standards 380 Other Personal 350 Motor Vehicle 875 Customer Challenge of Veteran's Benefits 362 Black Lung (923) Property Damage 355 Motor Vehicle 12 USC 3410 ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 160 Stockholders' Suits 385 Property Damage Product Liability 720 Labor/Mgmt. Relations **Product Liability** 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI 190 Other Contract 730 Labor/Mgmt.Reporting 891 Agricultural Acts 360 Other Personal ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) & Disclosure Act 892 Economic Stabilization Act 196 Franchise FEDERAL TAX SUITS 740 Railway Labor Act CIVIL RIGHTS AND PRISONER PETITIONS 893 Environmental Matters REAL PROPERTY 3 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff 790 Other Labor Litigation 510 Motions to Vacate 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 210 Land Condemnation 441 Voting 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. or Defendant) Sentence 442 Employment 895 Freedom of Information □ 220 Foreclosure 7 871 IRS—Third Party Security Act Habeas Corpus: 26 USC 7609 ☐ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 443 Housing/ Act 900Appeal of Fee Determination 530 General Accommodations 240 Torts to Land IMMIGRATION #12 535 Death Penalty Under Equal Access 444 Welfare 245 Tort Product Liability 462 Naturalization Application 540 Mandamus & Other 445 Amer, w/Disabilities to Justice 290 All Other Real Property 463 Habeas Corpus - 950 Constitutionality of 550 Civil Rights Employment Alien Detainee 555 Prison Condition 446 Amer. w/Disabilities State Statutes 3 465 Other Immigration Other Actions 440 Other Civil Rights Appeal to District Judge from (Place an "X" in One Box Only) ☐ 6 Multidistrict v. origin Transferred from ☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 Magistrate Remanded from another district 2 Removed from **1** 3 Litigation Original Reopened Appellate Court (specify) State Court Proceeding Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Ponot cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): FUCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 of Soq.; HPUCPA, Cal. Civ. Code § 1788-1788.32 VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: Violations of tederal and California statutes in abusive debt collection practices. CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: **DEMAND \$** CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION VII. REQUESTED IN Yes □ No JURY DEMAND: 75,000.00 UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 COMPLAINT: VIII. RELATED CASE(S) DOCKET NUMBER (See instructions): IF ANY . SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF REGORD DATE 07/29/2008 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY MAG. JUDGE JUDGE APPLYING IFP



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

153679 - TC

August 05, 2008 14:04:26

Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #.: 08CV1414

Judge..: JEFFREY T MILLER

Amount.:

\$350.00 CK

Check#.: BC2509

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: STEVEN HEATH

VS

LEGAL RECOVERY LAW OFFICES